

xhtaylor postestimation — Postestimation tools for xhtaylor

Postestimation commands
References

[predict](#)
Also see

[margins](#)

[Remarks and examples](#)

Postestimation commands

The following postestimation commands are available after `xhtaylor`:

Command	Description
estat summarize	summary statistics for the estimation sample
estat vce	variance–covariance matrix of the estimators (VCE)
estimates	cataloging estimation results
etable	table of estimation results
forecast	dynamic forecasts and simulations
hausman	Hausman’s specification test
lincom	point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for linear combinations of coefficients
margins	marginal means, predictive margins, marginal effects, and average marginal effects
marginsplot	graph the results from margins (profile plots, interaction plots, etc.)
nlcom	point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for nonlinear combinations of coefficients
predict	predictions and their SEs, residuals, etc.
predictnl	point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for generalized predictions
test	Wald tests of simple and composite linear hypotheses
testnl	Wald tests of nonlinear hypotheses

predict

Description for predict

`predict` creates a new variable containing predictions such as fitted values, standard errors, combined residuals, predictions, random-error components, and idiosyncratic error components.

Menu for predict

Statistics > Postestimation

Syntax for predict

```
predict [type] newvar [if] [in] [, statistic]
```

<i>statistic</i>	Description
------------------	-------------

Main

<code>xb</code>	$\mathbf{X}_{it}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \mathbf{Z}_{it}\hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$, fitted values; the default
<code>stdp</code>	standard error of the fitted values
<code>ue</code>	$\hat{\mu}_i + \hat{\epsilon}_{it}$, the combined residual
* <code>xbu</code>	$\mathbf{X}_{it}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \mathbf{Z}_{it}\hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}} + \hat{\mu}_i$, prediction including effect
* <code>u</code>	$\hat{\mu}_i$, the random-error component
* <code>e</code>	$\hat{\epsilon}_{it}$, prediction of the idiosyncratic error component

Unstarred statistics are available both in and out of sample; type `predict ... if e(sample) ...` if wanted only for the estimation sample. Starred statistics are calculated only for the estimation sample, even when `if e(sample)` is not specified.

Options for predict

Main

`xb`, the default, calculates the linear prediction, that is, $\mathbf{X}_{it}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \mathbf{Z}_{it}\hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$.

`stdp` calculates the standard error of the linear prediction.

`ue` calculates the prediction of $\hat{\mu}_i + \hat{\epsilon}_{it}$.

`xbu` calculates the prediction of $\mathbf{X}_{it}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \mathbf{Z}_{it}\hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}} + \hat{\nu}_i$, the prediction including the random effect.

`u` calculates the prediction of $\hat{\mu}_i$, the estimated random effect.

`e` calculates the prediction of $\hat{\epsilon}_{it}$.

margins

Description for margins

`margins` estimates margins of response for fitted values.

Menu for margins

Statistics > Postestimation

Syntax for margins

```
margins [marginlist] [, options]
```

```
margins [marginlist] , predict(statistic ...) [options]
```

<i>statistic</i>	Description
<code>xb</code>	$\mathbf{X}_{it}\widehat{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_i\widehat{\delta}$, fitted values; the default
<code>stdp</code>	not allowed with <code>margins</code>
<code>ue</code>	not allowed with <code>margins</code>
<code>xbu</code>	not allowed with <code>margins</code>
<code>u</code>	not allowed with <code>margins</code>
<code>e</code>	not allowed with <code>margins</code>

Statistics not allowed with `margins` are functions of stochastic quantities other than `e(b)`.

For the full syntax, see [R] [margins](#).

Remarks and examples

[stata.com](https://www.stata.com)

▶ Example 1

Continuing with [example 1](#) of [XT] [xhtaylor](#), we use `hausman` to test whether we should use the Hausman–Taylor estimator instead of the fixed-effects estimator. We follow the empirical illustration in [Baltagi \(2013, sec. 7.5\)](#), but we fit the model without including the `exp2` and `wks` variables.

We first fit the model with `xhtaylor` and then with `xtreg, fe`:

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r18/psidextract
. xhtaylor lwage occ south smsa ind exp ms union fem blk ed,
> endog(exp ms union ed)
  (output omitted)
. estimates store eq_ht
. xtreg lwage occ south smsa ind exp ms union fem blk ed, fe
  (output omitted)
. estimates store eq_fe
```

We can now use `hausman` to compare the two estimators, but we need to specify the `df()` to indicate the degrees of freedom for the χ^2 statistic, which would be determined by the overidentifying restrictions in the Hausman–Taylor estimation. In this case, there are three degrees of freedom because there are four time-varying exogenous variables (`occ`, `south`, `smsa`, `ind`) that can be used as instruments for only one time-invariant endogenous variable (`ed`).

```
. hausman eq_fe eq_ht, df(3)
```

	Coefficients		(b-B) Difference	sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) Std. err.
	(b) eq_fe	(B) eq_ht		
occ	-.0239323	-.0231694	-.0007629	.0002395
south	-.0037282	.0062699	-.0099982	.0124188
smsa	-.0436251	-.0433518	-.0002733	.0042296
ind	.021184	.0156376	.0055465	.0025159
exp	.0965738	.0964748	.0000991	.000063
ms	-.0299908	-.0300703	.0000795	.000321
union	.0349156	.0348494	.0000662	.0006336

b = Consistent under H0 and Ha; obtained from **xtreg**.

B = Inconsistent under Ha, efficient under H0; obtained from **xhtaylor**.

Test of H0: Difference in coefficients not systematic

$$\begin{aligned} \text{chi2}(3) &= (\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{B})'[(\mathbf{V}_b-\mathbf{V}_B)^{-1}](\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{B}) \\ &= 5.22 \end{aligned}$$

Prob > chi2 = 0.1567

(V_b-V_B is not positive definite)

The p -value for the test provides evidence favoring the null hypothesis; therefore, in this case, the Hausman–Taylor estimation is adequate.

Notice that the variance–covariance matrix for the difference $(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{B})$ is not positive definite. As [Greene \(2012, 237\)](#) points out, this kind of result is due to finite-sample conditions. He also states that Hausman considers it preferable to take the test statistic as zero and, therefore, not to reject the null hypothesis.

◀

▶ Example 2

We now want to determine whether the Amemiya–MaCurdy estimator produces significant efficiency gains with respect to the Hausman–Taylor estimator. We refit the two models, and we use the Hausman test again:

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r18/psidextract
. xhtaylor lwage occ south smsa ind exp ms union fem blk ed,
> endog(exp ms union ed)
(output omitted)
. estimates store eq_ht
. xhtaylor lwage occ south smsa ind exp ms union fem blk ed,
> endog(exp ms union ed) amacurdy
(output omitted)
. estimates store eq_am
```

```
. hausman eq_ht eq_am
```

	Coefficients		(b-B) Difference	sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) Std. err.
	(b) eq_ht	(B) eq_am		
occ	-.0231694	-.023354	.0001846	.0006485
south	.0062699	.0060857	.0001842	.0010641
smsa	-.0433518	-.0434638	.0001121	.0006297
ind	.0156376	.0156602	-.0000226	.000492
exp	.0964748	.0962147	.00026	.0000694
ms	-.0300703	-.0303139	.0002436	.0006735
union	.0348494	.0345742	.0002752	.0006471
fem	-.1277756	-.1287857	.0010101	.0036717
blk	-.2911574	-.291645	.0004876	.0082831
ed	.1390257	.1380699	.0009558	.005436

b = Consistent under H0 and Ha; obtained from **xhtaylor**.

B = Inconsistent under Ha, efficient under H0; obtained from **xhtaylor**.

Test of H0: Difference in coefficients not systematic

chi2(10) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^(-1)](b-B)

= 14.42

Prob > chi2 = 0.1548

The result indicates that we should use the more efficient estimation produced by the Amemiya–MaCurdy estimator.



References

- Baltagi, B. H. 2013. *Econometric Analysis of Panel Data*. 5th ed. Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Greene, W. H. 2012. *Econometric Analysis*. 7th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Also see

- [[XT](#)] **xhtaylor** — Hausman–Taylor estimator for error-components models
- [[U](#)] **20 Estimation and postestimation commands**

Stata, Stata Press, and Mata are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow and NetCourseNow are trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2023 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on [citing Stata documentation](#).